THYROID Volume 00, Number 00, 2023 © Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. DOI: 10.1089/thy.2023.0141

> Open camera or QR reader and scan code to access this article and other resources online.



The 2023 Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology

Syed Z. Ali,¹ Zubair W. Baloch,² Beatrix Cochand-Priollet,³ Fernando C. Schmitt,⁴ Philippe Vielh,⁵ and Paul A. VanderLaan⁶

Since the publication of the first edition in 2010, The Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology has allowed cytopathologists to use a standardized, category-based reporting system for thyroid fine needle aspirations. The third edition builds on the success of the 2 earlier editions and offers several key updates. The most important is the assignment of a single name for each of the 6 diagnostic categories: (i) nondiagnostic; (ii) benign; (iii) atypia of undetermined significance; (iv) follicular neoplasm; (v) suspicious for malignancy; and (vi) malignant. Each of the categories has an implied risk of malignancy (ROM), which has been updated and refined based on data reported after the second edition. The third edition offers an average ROM for each category, in addition to the expected range of cancer risk. The atypia of undetermined significance subcategorization is simplified into 2 subgroups based on the implied ROM and molecular profiling. A discussion of pediatric thyroid disease has been added, and pediatric ROMs and management algorithms are discussed in the relevant sections. Nomenclature has been updated to align with the 2022 World Health Organization Classification of Thyroid Neoplasms. Two new chapters have been added: one that addresses the significant and expanded use of molecular and ancillary testing in thyroid cytopathology, and another that summarizes clinical perspectives and imaging findings in thyroid disease.

Keywords: thyroid, cytopathology, fine-needle aspiration, terminology, bethesda, follicular neoplasm, cancer, molecular testing

Introduction

THE BETHESDA SYSTEM FOR REPORTING THYROID CYTOPATHOLOGY (TBSRTC) established a simplified, 6 category-based reporting system for thyroid fine needle aspiration (FNA). The first 2 editions of TBSRTC (2010 and 2017) significantly achieved the goal of standardizing thyroid cytopathology reporting and were widely adopted.¹⁻⁴ The monographs were translated into 7 different languages, becoming one of the most widely used diagnostic and reporting tools in thyroid cytopathology. Using the terminology of TBSRTC, cytopathologists can effectively communicate thyroid FNA interpretations to the referring physician in succinct, unambiguous, and clinically useful terms. As further refinement of the diagnostic categories, recommended management strategies (e.g., molecular testing, repeat FNA versus. surgery), and their implied risks of malignancy continue to occur, 5,6 the time had come to consider another revision of TBSRTC.

The third edition of the atlas, the previous editions of which are widely used by cytopathologists, with both cytologic illustrations and written criteria for diagnostic categorization, will be in print as of the summer of 2023. The atlas is updated and has expanded chapters devoted to the 6 reporting

¹Department of Pathology, The Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Medical Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

Department of Pathology, Cochin Hospital, Paris, France.

Department of Pathology, Medical Faculty of Porto University, Porto, Portugal.

⁵Department of Pathology, Medipath and the American Hospital of Paris, Paris, France.

⁶Department of Pathology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

This article is being published jointly in Thyroid and the Journal of the American Society of Cytopathology.

2 ALI ET AL.

categories, 2 new chapters, updated text, new illustrations, and refined definitions, morphologic criteria, and explanatory notes.

As with the previous 2 editions, TBSRTC 2023 recommends that every thyroid FNA report begin with 1 of the 6 diagnostic categories. The third edition (2023) addresses one of the limitations of the prior editions that had led to some confusion, namely, having alternative names for 3 of the diagnostic categories. This has been resolved, with TBSRTC 2023 recommending a single designation for each of the 6 categories, discontinuing the previously used terms of "unsatisfactory," "follicular lesion of undetermined significance," and "suspicious for a follicular neoplasm."

TBSRTC 2023 recommends the following as the 6 reporting category names: (i) nondiagnostic; (ii) benign; (iii) atypia of undetermined significance (AUS); (iv) follicular neoplasm; (v) suspicious for malignancy (SFM); and (vi) malignant (Table 1). TBSRTC 2023 continues to recommend that the names of the categories (and not just their numerical designations) should be used for reporting results and publishing scientific investigations to avoid confusion with the Thyroid Imaging and Reporting System (TIRADS) and other reporting systems that are primarily numeral-based. Adding a category number after the category name is an acceptable, optional practice (e.g., benign [Bethesda II], AUS [Bethesda III]).

Table 1. The 2023 Bethesda System for Reporting THYROID CYTOPATHOLOGY: DIAGNOSTIC CATEGORIES

I. Nondiagnostic Cyst fluid only Virtually acellular specimen

Other (obscuring blood, clotting artifact, drying artifact, etc.)

II. Benign

Consistent with follicular nodular disease (includes adenomatoid nodule, colloid nodule, etc.) Consistent with chronic lymphocytic (Hashimoto) thyroiditis in the proper clinical context Consistent with granulomatous (subacute) thyroiditis

III. Atypia of undetermined significance Specify if AUS-nuclear atypia or AUS-other IV. Follicular neoplasm

Specify if oncocytic (formerly Hürthle cell) type

V. Suspicious for malignancy

Suspicious for papillary thyroid carcinoma Suspicious for medullary thyroid carcinoma Suspicious for metastatic carcinoma

Suspicious for lymphoma

Other

VI. Malignant

Papillary thyroid carcinoma High-grade follicular-derived carcinoma Medullary thyroid carcinoma Undifferentiated (anaplastic) carcinoma Squamous cell carcinoma Carcinoma with mixed features (specify) Metastatic malignancy Non-Hodgkin lymphoma Other

Adapted, with permission, from Ali and VanderLaan.⁷ AUS, atypia of undetermined significance.

The widespread adoption of TBSRTC proves that it is built on a reporting framework with a probabilistic approach (i.e., implicit risk of malignancy [ROM]) for each diagnostic category. TBSRTC 2023 provides each category with an implied cancer risk. Based on prospectively analyzed large series with surgical follow-up reported since the 2017 edition, the ROMs have been updated (Table 2). Keeping TBSRTC updated with clinical practice guidelines, each reporting category has an updated and revised management algorithm, as recommended by the American Thyroid Association and other professional endocrine organizations. In addition to the revision of the category designations, updated ROMs, and revision in the management plans, other significant changes in TBSRTC 2023 include the following:

- 1. Revised nomenclature for certain thyroid lesions in alignment with the recently published 2022 World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Thyroid Neoplasms.8
- 2. The addition of 2 new dedicated chapters, recognizing the importance of clinical perspectives and imaging findings and the expanding landscape of molecular testing in thyroid disease.
- 3. An expanded discussion on the reporting and management of pediatric thyroid disease. TBSRTC can be adequately applied for reporting pediatric thyroid cytopathology. Based on published studies, the ROMs have been calculated for the 6 reporting categories for this age group and linked with the commonly practiced guidelines^{9,10} (Table 3).
- 4. An official endorsement of TBSRTC by the European Federation of Cytology Societies, an umbrella organization of cytology professionals composed of 26 individual national organizations.

Format of the Report

To effectively and clearly communicate the cytopathologic interpretation, TBSRTC 2023 continues to recommend that each report begin with 1 of the 6 general diagnostic categories. Because of their ambiguous and less clearly descriptive nature, numerical designations alone (e.g., Bethesda IV) are discouraged for the purposes of FNA reporting and scientific publication, although the numerical designations can be used in conjunction with the category name, such as "follicular neoplasm (Bethesda IV)."

Each of the categories has an implied cancer risk that links it to an evidence-based clinical management guideline (Table 2). For several reporting categories, some degree of subcategorization is recommended that further clarifies the FNA diagnosis, such as "suspicious for malignancy (Bethesda V)—suspicious for papillary thyroid carcinoma. Additional descriptive notes such as clinical recommendations or explanations regarding the differential diagnoses and comments beyond such subcategorization are optional and left to the discretion of the cytopathologist.

The revised and updated range and average ROMs are depicted in Table 2. These best current risk estimates are based on surgically resected nodules with the tabular footnotes clarifying the ROM estimates where appropriate. The traditional method of estimating the cancer risk, which is based on histologic follow-up (i.e., dividing the number of

Table 2. The 2023 Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology: Implied Risk OF MALIGNANCY WITH EXPECTED RANGES BASED ON FOLLOW-UP OF SURGICALLY RESECTED NODULES WITH RECOMMENDED CLINICAL MANAGEMENT

Diagnostic category	ROM ^a Mean % (range)	Usual management ^b
Nondiagnostic	13 (5–20) ^c	Repeat FNA ^d with ultrasound guidance
Benign	$4(2-7)^{\acute{e}}$	Clinical and ultrasound follow-up
Atypia of undetermined significance ^f	22 (13–30)	Repeat FNA, d molecular testing, diagnostic lobectomy, or surveillance
Follicular neoplasm ^g	30 (23–34)	Molecular testing, diagnostic lobectomy
Suspicious for malignancy Malignant	74 (67–83) 97 (97–100)	Molecular testing, hobectomy or near-total thyroidectomy Lobectomy or near-total thyroidectomy

Adapted, with permission, from Ali and VanderLaan.⁷

Actual management could depend on other factors (e.g., clinical, ultrasound findings), in addition to the FNA interpretation.

Studies have shown diagnostic resolution with repeat FNA.

This category can be further subclassified into specimens with nuclear versus non-nuclear atypia, the ROM appears to be higher for cases with nuclear atypia.

Includes cases of follicular neoplasm with oncocytic features (formerly Hürthle cell neoplasm).

FNA, fine needle aspiration; ROM, risk of malignancy.

patients with cancer by the total number of patients with surgical follow-up), overestimates the ROM, particularly for the nondiagnostic, benign, and AUS categories, for which a selection bias exists, given the relatively small proportion of nodules that undergo excision.

As with the previous edition, the effect of "noninvasive follicular thyroid neoplasm with papillary-like nuclear features" (NIFTP) on the ROM estimates has been considered. Although NIFTP is a surgical disease and cannot be definitively diagnosed using FNA, the cytologic features of this indolent tumor tends to lead to classification on FNA as either AUS (Bethesda III), follicular neoplasm (Bethesda IV), or SFM (Bethesda V), thereby affecting the resultant ROM calculations. Based on new prospective studies since the publication of the second edition, the revised ROM for each category when excluding NIFTP is shown in Table 4, information that could help guide more conservative clinical management of some nodules.

Nondiagnostic

TBSRTC 2023 has discontinued the option of using the term "unsatisfactory" for the first category; henceforth, the sole term "nondiagnostic" is recommended. TBSRTC 2023 reiterates that every thyroid FNA should be evaluated for sample adequacy, which is defined by both the quantity and quality of the cellular (mostly follicular) and colloid components. Application of adequacy criteria ensures a low falsenegative rate and more accurate interpretation of the FNA. Similar to prior editions, with some exceptions (e.g., cases with abundant colloid or aspirates with abundant lymphocytic infiltrate), TBSRTC 2023 continues to recommend a minimum of 6 groups of well-preserved, well-visualized follicular cells, with each group comprising ≥10 cells, for an adequate sample (quantity). Despite the presumed advantages of lowering the follicular cell count for specimen adequacy (e.g., fewer nondiagnostic FNA and, thus, fewer

Table 3. The 2023 Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology in Pediatric Patients WITH IMPLIED RISK OF MALIGNANCY AND POSSIBLE MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Diagnostic category	ROM mean % (range)	Possible management recommendations
Nondiagnostic Benign ^a Atypia of undetermined significance Follicular neoplasm ^b Suspicious for malignancy Malignant	14 (0–33) 6 (0–27) 28 (11–54) 50 (28–100) 81 (40–100) 98 (86–100)	Repeat FNA with ultrasound guidance Clinical and ultrasound follow-up Repeat FNA or surgical resection Surgical resection Surgical resection Surgical resection

Adapted, with permission, from Ali and VanderLaan.⁷

^aThese ROM estimates are skewed by selection bias, because many thyroid nodules (especially those diagnosed as benign or atypia of undetermined significance) might not undergo surgical excision.

The ROM varies with the type and structure of the nodule (i.e., solid vs. complex vs. >50% cystic); nondiagnostic aspirates from solid nodules are associated with a higher ROM compared with those showing >50% cystic changes and low-risk ultrasound features.

eThis ROM estimate is based on follow-up of surgically resected nodules, which is skewed by selection bias because most thyroid nodules classified as benign do not undergo surgical excision; using long-term follow-up studies, the best overall ROM estimate for a benign FNA is $\sim 1\%$ to 2%.

hMolecular analysis can be performed to assess the type of surgical procedure (lobectomy vs. total thyroidectomy).

In the case of "suspicious for metastatic tumor" or a "malignant" interpretation indicating a metastatic tumor rather than a primary thyroid malignancy, surgery might not be indicated.

^aROM is skewed by selection bias because most thyroid nodules classified as benign do not undergo surgical excision. bIncludes cases of follicular neoplasm with oncocytic features (formerly Hürthle cell neoplasm).

4 ALI ET AL.

Table 4. Reported Decreases in the Risk of Malignancy of The Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology Diagnostic Categories If Excluding Nodules Diagnosed by Surgical Pathologic Examination as Noninvasive Follicular Thyroid Neoplasm with Papillary Like Nuclear Features

Diagnostic category	Decrease in ROM if excluding NIFTP ^a Mean % (range)	Estimated final ROM if excluding NIFTP ^b Mean %
Nondiagnostic	1.3 (0-2)	12
Benign	2.4 (0–4)	2
Atypia of undetermined significance	6.4 (6–20)	16
Follicular neoplasm	7.1 (0.2–30)	23
Suspicious for malignancy	9.1 (0-40)	65
Malignant	2.6 (0–13)	94

Adapted, with permission, from Ali and VanderLaan.⁷

NIFTP, noninvasive follicular thyroid neoplasm with papillary like nuclear features.

repeats), ^{11,12} no clear consensus has yet been reached regarding a lower threshold. Therefore, the original adequacy criteria from TBSRTC 2017 have been retained until robust published studies validating a lower cell count are available. Regarding the quality, thyroid FNA preparations that are considered satisfactory for evaluation should show cells that are well-preserved, well-stained, and easily visualized. Certain limiting factors such as abundant obscuring blood or extensive air-drying artifacts could be included in adequacy statements. "Unsatisfactory" is a term applied to the adequacy statement and is no longer considered synonymous with "nondiagnostic." An unsatisfactory specimen, by definition, contains no diagnostic information.

Aspirates that consist of cyst fluid only with or without macrophages continue to be interpreted as nondiagnostic (Bethesda I). An optional note could be added in the report that in the absence of worrisome ultrasound findings (e.g., a purely cystic lesion with no solid areas or mural nodules), the clinician might consider the FNA interpretation as if it were a benign result.

The ROM for a nondiagnostic FNA is difficult to calculate because most such nodules are not surgically resected. Among surgically excised nodules initially reported as nondiagnostic, the ROM is 5% to 20% (average, 13%), which clearly overrepresents the incidence of malignancies compared with the entire cohort of nondiagnostic nodules owing to selection bias.

A repeat aspiration with ultrasound guidance is recommended for cytologically nondiagnostic nodules and will yield diagnostic results in 60%–80% of cases, particularly in the nodules with a smaller cystic component. ¹³ Regarding the interval for a repeat FNA after an initial nondiagnostic FNA, the data are slightly conflicting. Some studies have clearly depicted lower diagnostic yields if the repeat FNA is per-

formed sooner than 3 months.¹⁴ However, the previous approach of waiting for 3 months before a repeat FNA seems to be less crucial.^{15,16} Additionally, the American Thyroid Association guidelines now state that there is no need to wait several months before repeating the FNA.⁴

Benign

The success and clinical value of thyroid FNA centers on its ability to reliably identify benign thyroid nodules and, thus, avoid unnecessary surgical resection for most patients with nodular thyroid disease. A benign (Bethesda II) FNA diagnosis is associated with a very low ROM when these nodules undergo surgical resection (range, 2%–7%; average, 4%). Because relatively few nodules with a benign (Bethesda II) FNA will actually undergo surgery (footnotes of Table 2 and the chapter on the benign diagnostic category), the best overall ROM estimate based on long-term follow-up studies is approximately 1% to 2%. In light of the 2022 WHO classification of thyroid tumors, the use of the term "follicular nodular disease" is preferred to refer to the spectrum of changes previously designated as colloid nodule, hyperplastic nodule, adenomatous nodule, or benign follicular nodule. ¹⁷

Atypia of Undetermined Significance

TBSRTC 2023 discontinues the term "follicular lesion of undetermined significance" to avoid confusion with reporting terminology and management; henceforth, only the term "AUS" is used. AUS is one of the three "indeterminate" cytopathologic interpretations that convey a diagnosis that is not definitively benign or malignant.

The AUS category is reserved for cases with atypia that is insufficient for either of the other 2 indeterminate categories of "follicular neoplasm" and "suspicious for malignancy." Among the 3 indeterminate categories, AUS has the lowest ROM (average, 22%; range, 20%-32%), again based on surgical resection data, which likely overestimate the overall ROM for this category. Furthermore, the malignancy risk differs according to the cytomorphologic nature of the atypia leading to the AUS interpretation. Specifically, AUS with nuclear atypia has a significantly higher ROM compared with AUS associated with other patterns, particularly those characterized by architectural atypia alone or a predominance of oncocytes. ^{18,19} Recently reported data suggest that the AUS subclassification for pediatric patients, similar to that currently used for adults, might provide further risk stratification. One study showed that nuclear atypia was associated with an ROM of 59% compared with 6.5% for architectural or oncocytic atypia. 20 TBSRTC 2023 has introduced further simplification of the AUS subcategorization into 2 groups: "nuclear" (previously "cytologic") and "other." The latter includes cases with architectural atypia, oncocytic atypia, and lymphocytic atypia, among others. The new subclassification of AUS puts emphasis on the importance of distinguishing nuclear atypia (which conveys a relatively higher risk) from all other AUS morphologic patterns (conveying a relatively lower risk) to improve communication regarding the ROM between cytopathologists and the clinical team managing the patient. This 2-tiered subclassification is also supported partly by molecular studies performed on AUS cases clearly delineating the "nuclear" from the "other" subgroup.²¹ Updates to the AUS category in TBSRTC 2023

^aBased on the weighted average (mean) reduction in malignancy, with the expected ranges.

^bBased on the estimated average ROM values from Table 2 minus the values reported in the present Table.

also includes management practices, the role of molecular testing, and discussion of concerns specific to pediatric patients.

Follicular Neoplasm

To ensure clear and unambiguous communication, TBSRTC 2023 recommends discontinuing the use of term "suspicious for a follicular neoplasm," given the potential confusion of this category with a different category that also incorporated "suspicious" in the title (i.e., "suspicious for malignancy [Bethesda V]"). As such, only "follicular neoplasm" should be used as the sole name for this category. The diagnostic criteria proposed in the second edition are reaffirmed in this third edition. This category is intended for those aspirates that are at least moderately cellular and composed of follicular cells, most of which show significant architectural abnormality in the form of microfollicles and/or crowding, trabeculae, or single cells. Based on the relaxing of the morphologic criteria in the second edition of TBSRTC to possibly include all cases suspected to be NIFTP, the third edition provides a more detailed description of the diagnostic clues for potential cases of this entity.^{22,23} If possible, prospective cytologic recognition of potential NIFTP cases in thyroid FNAs is important to avoid overdiagnosing them as "malignant—papillary thyroid carcinoma" or "suspicious for malignancy—suspicious for papillary thyroid carcinoma," diagnostic categories that could unnecessarily result in aggressive surgical procedures, because the recommended treatment for NIFTP is conservative surgery (e.g., lobectomy) in view of its indolent behavior. It is, therefore, reiterated that follicular-patterned aspirates with only mild nuclear changes (i.e., mild degree of enlargement, contour irregularity, and/or chromatin clearing) are best classified as follicular neoplasm if true papillae are absent and intranuclear pseudoinclusions are either absent or very rare. Because the reporting category name is now simply "follicular neoplasm" and not "suspicious for a follicular neoplasm," cytopathologists have the option of adding the following statement to the report to acknowledge that not all aspirates diagnosed as "follicular neoplasm (Bethesda IV) will ultimate be proved to be neoplastic on evaluation of the surgical resection specimen: "Although the cytologic features are in keeping with a follicular neoplasm, approximately 30% of cases diagnosed as follicular neoplasm (Bethesda IV) on FNA turn out to be benign follicular nodular disease on surgical resection." Molecular testing results can be used to supplement the risk assessment in lieu of proceeding directly to surgery.⁶ The recommended management of follicular neoplasm is surgical excision of the nodule, most often hemithyroidectomy or lobectomy.

Regarding the previous term "follicular neoplasm, Hürthle cell type," TBSRTC 2023 recommends "follicular neoplasm—oncocytic follicular neoplasm" to align with the 2022 WHO Classification of Thyroid Neoplasms. This diagnosis is associated with a significant ROM (range, 25%–50%). The criteria for this diagnosis are essentially unchanged from those described in the first 2 editions: a virtually exclusive population of oncocytes, usually scant to absent colloid, rare to absent background lymphocytes, and, often, with the presence of nuclear and cellular size variations. The differential diagnosis includes benign nodules with focal oncocytic hyperplasia and other neoplasms

with oncocytic features, most importantly medullary thyroid carcinoma and subtypes of papillary thyroid carcinoma.

Suspicious for Malignancy

The diagnostic category of SFM (Bethesda V) is used when the cytomorphologic features of a thyroid FNA are worrisome for papillary thyroid carcinoma, medullary thyroid carcinoma, lymphoma, or another malignant neoplasm but are quantitatively and/or qualitatively insufficient for a definitive malignant (Bethesda VI) diagnosis. SFM as a TBSRTC category is quite heterogeneous; however, most cases under SFM are classified as "suspicious for papillary thyroid carcinoma." As the usual management is surgical (either lobectomy or near total thyroidectomy), the diagnosis of SFM should be used judicially. Some, but not all, of the cases in this category raise the possibility of a follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma or NIFTP. For this subset, adding an optional note clarifying that "the cytomorphologic features are suspicious for a follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma or its indolent counterpart NIFTP" could be considered. In such cases, deescalating the surgical management with lobectomy rather than total thyroidectomy could be a good approach.

Malignant

TBSRTC category "malignant (Bethesda VI)" is used whenever the cytomorphologic features are conclusive for malignancy. The descriptive comments that follow are used to subclassify the malignancy and summarize the results of special studies, if any.

The diagnostic morphologic criteria of common thyroid malignancies have not changed. A few updates included in the 2023 edition are as follows:

- 1. The term "papillary thyroid carcinoma, variants" is now changed to "papillary thyroid carcinoma, subtypes" in accordance with the WHO tumor classification recommendation to avoid confusion with the term "genetic variant(s)," which is based on the molecular classification.
- 2. The previously recognized subtype of papillary thyroid carcinoma, "cribriform morular variant" is now designated as a separate tumor entity.
- 3. The new term "high-grade follicular-derived thyroid carcinoma" is now endorsed, which replaces the older nomenclature of "poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma."

Conclusions

The third edition of TBSRTC builds on the success of the prior editions of this atlas and reporting system. This new edition not only provides up-to-date ROM estimates for each diagnostic category but also harmonizes the terminology with the latest WHO classification of thyroid neoplasms and covers the increasingly important molecular alterations encountered in thyroid neoplasms, ensuring that TBSRTC remains relevant and clinically useful. With updated images, sample reports with explanatory notes, and further refined diagnostic criteria, the third edition of TBSRTC will continue to help cytopathologists accurately and reproducibly classify thyroid nodule FNAs to clearly reflect the ROM that will guide clinical management.

6 ALI ET AL.

Acknowledgments

The editors thank the many individuals who laid the foundation for the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology (TBSRTC) in 2007 and contributed to the publication of the three monographs (TBSRTC 2010, TBSRTC II 2017, and TBSRTC III 2023). This includes the organizers and participants of The National Cancer Institute Thyroid Fine Needle Aspiration State of the Science Conference in Bethesda, Maryland, in 2007; International Academy of Cytology-sponsored special symposium, TBSRTC: Past, Present, and Future at the International Congress of Cytology in Yokohama, Japan, in 2016; and the American Society of Cytopathology—European Federation of Cytology Societies-sponsored symposium Quest for Perfection—Updating the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology at the American Society of Cytopathology—International Academy of Cytology jointly organized International Congress of Cytology in Baltimore, Maryland, in 2022.

Authors' Contributions

S.Z.A.: Visualization, writing-original draft, writing-reviewing and editing. Z.W.B.: Data curation, writing-reviewing and editing. B.C.-P.: Writing-reviewing and editing. F.C.S.: Writing-reviewing and editing. P.A.V.: Visualization, writing- reviewing and editing.

Author Disclosure Statement

The authors made no disclosures.

Funding Information

No specific funding was disclosed.

References

- 1. Cibas ES, Ali SZ. The Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytopathology. Thyroid 2009;19:1159–1165.
- Cibas ES, Ali SZ. The 2017 Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytopathology. J Am Soc Cytopathol 2017;6:217– 222
- Ali SZ, Cibas ES. The Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology Definitions, Criteria and Explanatory Notes. Springer US: Boston, MA, USA; 2010.
- 4. Cibas ES, Ali SZ. The 2017 Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytopathology. Thyroid 2017;27:1341–1346.
- 5. Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer. Thyroid 2016;26:1–133.
- Carty SE, Ohori NP, Hilko DA, et al. The clinical utility of molecular testing in the management of thyroid follicular neoplasms (Bethesda IV nodules). Ann Surg 2020;272: 621–627.
- Ali SZ, VanderLaan PA. The Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology: Definitions, Criteria, and Explanatory Notes, 3rd ed. Springer: New York, NY, USA; 2023.
- 8. WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board: Endocrine and Neuroendocrine Tumours, Vol. 8. 5th ed. International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon, France; 2022.

 Cherella CE, Angell TE, Richman DM, et al. Differences in thyroid nodule cytology and malignancy risk between children and adults. Thyroid 2019;29:1097–1104.

- Vuong HG, Chung DGB, Ngo LM, et al. The use of the Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytopathology in pediatric thyroid nodules: A meta-analysis. Thyroid 2021; 31:1203–1211.
- Renshaw AA. Histologic follow-up of nondiagnostic thyroid fine needle aspirations: Implications for adequacy criteria. Diagn Cytopathol 2012;40:E13—E15.
- Vivero M, Renshaw AA, Krane JF. Adequacy criteria for thyroid FNA evaluated by ThinPrep slides only. Cancer Cytopathol 2017;125: 534–543.
- Ferreira MA, Gerhard R, Schmitt F. Analysis of nondiagnostic results in a large series of thyroid fine-needle aspiration cytology performed over 9 years in a single center. Acta Cytol 2014;58:229–234.
- Souteiro P, Polónia A, Eloy C. Repeating thyroid fineneedle aspiration before 3 months may render increased nondiagnostic results. Clin Endocrinol 2019;91:899–900.
- 15. Singh RS, Wang HH. Timing of repeat thyroid fine needle aspiration in the management of thyroid nodules. Acta Cytol 2011;55:544–548.
- Lee HY, Baek JH, Yoo H, et al. Repeat fine-needle aspiration biopsy within a short interval does not increase the atypical cytologic results for thyroid nodules with previously nondiagnostic results. Acta Cytol 2014;58:330–334.
- Baloch ZW, Asa SL, Barletta JA, et al. Overview of the 2022 WHO classification of thyroid neoplasms. Endocr Pathol 2022;33:27–63.
- 18. Olson MT, Clark DP, Erozan YS, et al. Spectrum of risk of malignancy in subcategories of 'atypia of undetermined significance.' Acta Cytol 2011;55:518–525.
- VanderLaan PA, Marqusee E, Krane JF. Usefulness of diagnostic qualifiers for thyroid fine-needle aspirations with atypia of undetermined significance. Am J Clin Pathol 2011;136:572–577.
- Cherella CE, Hollowell ML, Smith JR, et al. Subtype of atypia on cytology and risk of malignancy in pediatric thyroid nodules. Cancer Cytopathol 2022;130:330–335.
- Glass RE, Levy JJ, Motanagh SA, et al. Atypia of undetermined significance in thyroid cytology: Nuclear atypia and architectural atypia are associated with different molecular alterations and risks of malignancy. Cancer Cytopathol 2021;129:966–972.
- 22. Krane JF, Alexander EK, Cibas ES, et al. Coming to terms with NIFTP: A provisional approach for cytologists. Cancer Cytopathol 2016;124:767–772.
- 23. Strickland KC, Howitt BE, Barletta JA, et al. Suggesting the cytologic diagnosis of noninvasive follicular thyroid neoplasm with papillary-like nuclear features (NIFTP): A retrospective analysis of atypical and suspicious nodules. Cancer Cytopathol 2018;126: 86–93.

Address correspondence to: Syed Z. Ali, MD, FRCPath, FIAC Department of Pathology The Johns Hopkins Hospital Room 406, 600 North Wolfe Street Baltimore, MD 21287 USA

E-mail: sali@jhmi.edu